

Pre-assessment survey link:

0:37:14 Suzanne Tomlinson: https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1NdVivI5kHBaG64eOBt3hu18QaHVMUJNt0hdpa_Thxgs/edit?usp=sharing
Pedram
Honarpish

0:54:30 eh: Morning, has the pre-assessment survey link been shared yet? Thx.
Ye Wint

0:54:44 Oo: nope . not yet
Suzanne

0:54:49 Tomlinson: The link is abovee
Suzanne https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1NdVivI5kHBaG64eOBt3hu18QaHVMUJNt0hdpa_Thxgs/edit?usp=sharing

0:54:55 Tomlinson: https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1NdVivI5kHBaG64eOBt3hu18QaHVMUJNt0hdpa_Thxgs/edit?usp=sharing
Lisette

0:55:19 Cruz: Good morning, thank you for sharing

0:55:35 Emily: Suzanne, I think that link was to edit the survey
Suzanne

0:55:51 Tomlinson: Shannon, can you send the link?
Lisette

0:57:24 Cruz: true, the link that was just shared is for editing
Suzanne

0:57:43 Tomlinson: She is about to share the correct link
Pedram
Honarpish

0:58:07 eh: Thanks :)

0:58:21 srishtee: Thanks
Travis

0:59:26 Moore: pre-assessment survey link?
Suzanne

0:59:50 Tomlinson: Will be just a minute as a couple of you unknowingly edited it
Suzanne

1:00:09 Tomlinson: It is only 6 multiple choice questions so it will only take a couple minutes
Lisette

1:00:30 Cruz: Thank you
Apologies for the delay, here is the Pre-Assessment Link:

1:01:51 Shannon Mohrman: https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSfhJ9x0WfVHXzhiMahtlycTgjPU16JWXPLWwXwwdegx9GWOsQ/viewform?usp=sf_link
Sarah Hall-

1:09:26 Swan: I'm having audio problems and will try to reconnect
curtis Is it such a great thing to publish negative data? Isn't negative data may be

2:05:35 neveu: more prone to procedural error?

2:06:32 Pedram H.: what are your thoughts on the role of reviewers?
Mikayla Is it possible the relationship between impact factor and retraction index is due

2:07:07 Waters: to higher surveillance of higher impact factor journals? Also, I feel that I've

heard that publishing negative data is important to ensure rigor/reproducibility for some time now. However, I haven't heard any initiative to increase/incentivize it. Is there anything actually being done to help the problem or just reporting on the issue?

Is citation index a better metric than impact factor for a paper ? also some research areas may be less studied....how to asses scientific impact of such areas ?

2:07:09 Santosh Kumar: Pavel Govyadino v: AKANKSHA AGRAWAL: jmorunom anchon: Mikayla Waters: Sagar

2:07:36 Is the lower end of the triangle in terms you mentioned earlier more prominent from certain sources more than other? such as academia or private industry? biomed start-ups?

2:07:42 What could be the exact criteria used for exclusion of any data , especially if the results do not support the main finding of the study.

2:08:28 Is it possible that part of irreproducibility can be caused by lack of details in the Methods section in papers?

2:09:50 thank you!

2:11:27 gaikwad: Should reviewers ask for raw data prior to publication?

2:14:51 Lee Ellis: Ye Wint

2:15:42 Oo: how long should we keep the raw data after the paper has been published ?

2:16:11 Lee Ellis: Re methods, you are right, you gotta know what folks did in order to reproduce the data, we allow generous use of supplements in JAMA Onc. Anything online does not , a lot and thus supplements should be used generously

2:17:30 Lee Ellis: Ye Wint

2:18:06 Oo: How long to keep data? Now it is on the computer, not lab books, and there may be an official answer to this, but I don't know. I would say data on a computer or server should be around for 20-25 years, but that is just my view having been in my own lab for 30 yrs

2:19:41 Lee Ellis: thank you

3:00:24 Sharice: Lissette

3:01:14 Cruz: curtis

3:01:19 neveu: Mei-Ju

3:02:27 Chen: Re the triangle, in my opinion, no data, is that most data that cannot be reproduced comes from academia and industry wants the honest data as they are funding their own work and want to know if something doesn't work

Amgen collecting the data they did that helped me in this journey

applause

great talks!

Thank you for the talks!

Great story. The persistence is amazing. Thanks for the talks!

Pavel
 Govyadino
 3:02:51 v: Thank you! That was awesome. My question is: it seems like you've had a lot of fight come from journals that publish this data. What benefit do the journals have from not enforcing these rules for reproducibility strictly? Seems like thorough proof of misconduct should be taken extremely seriously? How did you manage to fund your inquiry? Did you have to do this on your own dime?

3:04:45 Sharice: Thank you!

3:11:04 Sharice: Thank you!
 Pavel
 Govyadino

3:11:31 v: How prominent is your/this kind of work?
 In general, reproducibility studies aren't that prominent because in most cases if we can, we can't check the validity of a paper somewhat quickly we may toss it in the trash. These specific studies wound up being very high profile because 1. They were clinically relevant, 2. The mistakes were simple and pervasive, and 3. At the end, it wasn't just the investigators doubling down on phony claims, it was Duke University as an institution.

3:19:38 Sarah: More recently, the collapse of the Surgisphere study on hydroxychloroquine was also national news.

3:21:33 Sarah: This happens most often to me in immunoblots presented in papers. Its exciting to see bands but can never always reproduce it with the same antibody used in the paper.

3:48:51 Sanjana
 Manja: Very true. They do not always specify details of every step they followed in the protocol. Thank you.

3:51:31 Sanjana
 Manja: Yes, I get regular updates from NIH re: changes...ad nauseum so it's a challenge to read them all and keep up. So back to the basics by reading the instructions.

4:19:40 shannema
 n: Probably best to allocate one room to breed the animals from the different labs so as to avoid the issues in environmental and other factors.

4:24:46 Sanjana
 Manja: eating

5:02:27 neveu:
 Pedram
 Honarpish

5:06:52 eh: Don't we need room links?
 curtis

5:07:03 neveu: how do I get the link
 Santosh

5:07:14 Kumar: links to the rooms
 Dierdre
 Axell-

5:07:23 House: I don't see a link in the emails
 Mei-Ju

5:07:30 Chen: How to get into the room?
 Ye Wint

5:07:34 Oo: I don't see either

Sanjana
5:07:56 Manja: I only got email describing what to do. How do we get into the allocated groups?
5:08:06 Joe Tolar: How do we get to the groups
Dierdre
Axell-
5:11:20 House: I don't have a way to get into room yet
Mei-Ju
5:11:21 Chen: I did not get into room 7
5:11:48 Cody Bills: I also don't see any way to enter a breakout room..
Shinhye
5:12:18 Chung: Is this automatically transferred to each room?
Sanjana
5:17:34 Manja: I pasted the link onto chrome. Nothing is happening
shannema
5:18:45 n: Maybe skip the group work?
Kimberly
Mankiewicz
5:19:35 z: tech errors happen especially in this age. no harm. Thanks Dawn
Dierdre
Axell-
5:19:59 House: There was no one in the room, and we didn't get to discuss anything,¶
Mikayla
5:20:05 Waters: No worries at all!! The session has been great so far
Suzanne
5:26:06 Tomlinson: You are all very kind. Thank you for your patience!
Robia
6:03:53 Pautler: Great job, Emily!
Caitlin
6:04:05 McCowan: Yes, thank you Emily!
Here is the link for the post-assessment survey. :
Shannon
6:08:03 Mohrman: [https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSeHgpRbZpvCZvBKUY9Y53gvU3Od](https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSeHgpRbZpvCZvBKUY9Y53gvU3OdHPMANy-nZG_pF-bCsf060Q/viewform)
Kimberly
Mankiewicz
6:08:22 z: Thank you!
Shinhye
6:08:27 Chung: Thank you! It was great!
Dierdre
Axell-
6:08:31 House: Thank you!
Caitlin
6:08:43 McCowan: Thank you Suzanne!
Koen
6:08:44 Venken: Thanks!

Pedram
Honarpish

6:09:07 eh: Thank you all very much.
Chelsie

6:09:09 Young: thank you!!
Mikayla

6:09:09 Waters: Thank you, all!
Emily

6:09:12 Jiang: Thank you!
Mei-Ju

6:09:16 Chen: Thank you all!
Jose

6:09:18 Enriquez: Thank you all!!
Emine

6:09:18 Bayraktar: Thank you all!
Shantanu

6:09:27 Guha: Thanks!
calebchan

6:09:32 g: Thank you!

6:09:45 srishtee: Thank you all!
Kathryn

6:09:45 Preston: thank you all!
Anu

6:09:47 Egbejimi: Thank you

6:09:49 Jun Xu: Thank you so much!

6:09:57 JSong2: Thank you all!
Lisette

6:10:03 Cruz: Thank you !
curtis

6:10:29 neveu: Thank you, Bye!
shannema

6:10:36 n: Thank you for a great workshop.
Santosh

6:10:38 Kumar: thank you....
Rose

6:10:49 Langsjoen: Thanks, everyone! This was a fantastic workshop!
Sanjana

6:11:41 Manja: Thank you everyone! Great workshop !
Shannon <https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSeHgpRbZpvCZvBKUY9Y53gvU3Od>

6:16:18 Mohrman: HPMANy-nZG_pF-bCsf060Q/viewform
Dierdre
Axell-

6:17:14 House: Thank you again! Completed!

